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## Basic Notation

- A square is a repetition with power of 2 , encoded as $(s, p, 2)$, distinct squares means only the types of the squares are counted, primitively rooted distinct squares means the generator itself is not a repetition. i.e. $x=$ aababaa.
- $\sigma_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{n})$ denotes the maximum number of primitively rooted distinct squares over all strings of length $n$ containing exactly $d$ distinct symbols.
- A singleton refers to a symbol in a string that occurs exactly once, a pair occurs exactly twice.


## d-step Approach

We introduced a $d$-step approach to investigate the problem of distinct squares in relationship to the alphabet of the string [4].

| $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\ldots}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 7 | 8 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 8 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

$(d, n-d)$ Table: $\sigma_{d}(n)$ with $1 \leq d \leq 10$ and $1 \leq n-d \leq 10$
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## Motivation

- Finding square-maximal strings by brute force algorithm is time consuming with large $d$ and $n$.
- The goal is to prune down the search space of the squaremaximal strings as much as possible.
- (d,n-d) table shows $\sigma_{d}(n)$ is either larger than or equal to the previous computed values.



## $R$-cover

We define a set of squares $\left\{R_{i}=\left(s_{i}, p_{i}, 2\right): 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is an $R$-cover of a string $x$ if:
(1) each $R_{i}$ is a distinct primitively rooted square in $x$;
(2) for every $R_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m, R_{i}$ is unique in $x\left[1 . . s_{i}+2 p_{i}-1\right]$;
(3) for every $R_{i}$ and $R_{i+1}, 1 \leq i<m, R_{i+1}$ is not in $x\left[s_{i}+2 p_{i}+1 . . n\right] ;$
(9) for every $R_{i}$ and $R_{i+1}, 1 \leq i<m, s_{i}<s_{i+1} \leq s_{i}+2 p_{i}$ and $s_{i}+2 p_{i}-1<s_{i+1}+2 p_{i+1}-1 ;$
(3) for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, there exists an $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $s_{i} \leq j \leq s_{i}+2 p_{i}-1 ;$

## $\mathbf{a b b a b b a b a b}$

## Double $R$-cover

- By Fraenkel-Simpson [1], there are at most two right most distinct squares starting at the same position in a string.
- $\sigma_{d}(n)$ is increased at most 2 compare to the previous computed value $\sigma_{d}(n-1)$.
- Further prune down the search space of square-maximal strings.



## Double $R$-cover (cont.)

## Proposition 1

A square-maximal string $x$ with $d$ symbols and length $n$ has $\sigma_{d}(n)=\sigma_{d}(n-1)+2, x$ satisfy the double $R$-cover density condition: every letter in $x$ occurs in at least two distinct squares.

## Proof.

Suppose $x$ does not meet the double $R$-cover density condition: there exist a letter in $x$ occurs in only one distinct square. The removal of this letter (form string $y$ ), will destroy at most one square of $x$. Therefore $\sigma_{d}(n)=s(x) \leq s(y)+1 \leq \sigma_{d}(n-1)+1$ which leads to a contradiction.

## Outline

## (1) Introduction <br> (2) R-cover <br> (3) Computation on distinct squares

4) Program
(5) Future work

## Along the row

- Motivation
(1) achieve better upper bound for $\sigma_{d}(n)$ with given $d$, i.e. $\sigma_{2}(n) \leq 2 n-47$ with $\sigma_{2}(37)=27$;
(2) conjecture exact formula of $\sigma_{d}(n)$ with $d=2$ row.
- Search space is narrowed down on strings satisfy double $R$-cover density.
- Compare to $\sigma_{d}(n-1)$, if rule out the possibility of $\sigma_{d}(n)$ increasing by 2 and by 1 in double $R$-cover strings search space, then search only one $R$-cover string that increases by 1 is sufficient.


## Main diagonal

- Motivation
(1) prove the conjecture of $\sigma_{d}(n) \leq n-d$ is true up to certain $d$;
(2) achieve better upper bound of $\sigma_{d}(n)$ for all $d$ and $n$, i.e. $\sigma_{d}(n) \leq 2 n-d_{0}-2 d$, where $d_{0}$ is the maximum where $\sigma_{d_{0}}\left(2 d_{0}\right)=d_{0}$ is known;
- Computationally with smaller search space of strings:
(1) satisfy double $R$-cover density;
(2) contains at least $\left\lceil\frac{2 d}{3}\right\rceil$ singletons[4], i.e. computationally, we only need to generate strings with $d=\left\lfloor\frac{d}{3}\right\rfloor$ and $n=d+\left\lfloor\frac{d}{3}\right\rfloor$;
(3) no pairs[4];
(4) parity condition.


## Main diagonal (cont.)

## Proposition 2

A square-maximal string $x$ with $d$ symbols and length $2 d$ contains an $R$-cover satisfies the parity condition: the overlap of any two $R$-cover squares must contain the symbols that occur in both of the non-overlapping parts.

## Proof.



| $n-d$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  | d-1 | d | ... | ... |
| d | ... |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | d-1 |  | $\sigma_{-1-1}(2 d-2)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $d$ |  | ... | $\sigma_{d}(2 / 4)$ |  |  |
|  | ... |  | $\sigma_{\text {atr }}(2 d)$ |  | ... |  |
|  | ... |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |

Suppose there exist such symbol $a$, change every occurrences of $a$ to $A$ in the left non-overlapping part to form $y$. Thus, $\sigma_{d}(2 d)=s(x) \leq s(y) \leq \sigma_{d+1}(2 d)=\sigma_{d-1}(2 d-2)$, a contradiction.
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## Description

- Build double $R$-cover strings:
(1) With all possible periods, consider all primitive generators and extend it to form the first square;
(2) Calculate the weak point by far;
(3) Build the next square within range which satisfies:
- the generator is primitive;
- is unique by far.
(4) Repeat step 2 and 3 and until reach desire length;
(5) When desire length is reached, ensure there is no weak point.

- Apply the appropriate conditions: i.e. parity condition when computing the main diagonal value.


## Preliminary Result

- Program was implemented in $\mathrm{C}++$, and run on Advol5 ( $8 \times$ Quad-Core AMD Opteron 8356) and Advol3 (16× Dual Core AMD Opteron 885) server.
- Along the row:
- $\sigma_{2}(37)$ was computed in about 5 days;
- did not return any result for weeks by brute force program.
- On the main diagonal:
- $\sigma_{16}(32)$ (equivalent to $\sigma_{5}(21)$ ) was computed in about 1 hour and 40 minutes;
- took weeks to run $\sigma_{10}(20)$ by brute force program.


## Future work
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## Future work

- Use heuristic search on $R$-cover strings. i.e. apply conditions that likely to return square maximal strings with increase of 1 .
- Current program recomputes all $R$-cover strings for length $n-1$ when computes length $n$. Develop a mechanism to be able to extend $R$-cover strings from shorter ones.
- Parallelize the program to speed up the computation.
- Because of the nature of the $R$-cover strings for distinct squares, we have to allow intermediate squares crossing the $R$-cover squares which is the bottleneck of the program.
- If the first and the last $R$-cover squares are the same for a given string and its reversal string, then we could avoid generating duplicated strings by restricting $p_{1} \leq p_{m}$.


## References

比 A．S．Fraenkel and J．Simpson，How Many Squares Can a String Contain？，Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A，82， 1 （1998），112－120．
䍰 L．ILie，A simple proof that a word of length $n$ has at most $2 n$ distinct squares，Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A， 112， 1 （2005）163－164．
围 L．IliE，A note on the number of squares in a word， Theoretical Computer Science，380， 3 （2007），373－376．
囯 A．Deza，F．Franek，and M．Jiang，A d－step approach for distinct squares in strings，Lecture Notes in Computer Science，Volume 6661 （2011）77－89．

## $\mathcal{T H A N K} \mathcal{Y O U !}$

